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We construct the element of volume vector corresponding to a surface of constant retarded distance around of an arbitrary timelike curve; the method employed is based in the radiation coordinates of Florides-McCrea-Synge for Riemannian 4spaces. Our results have interest in the study of the electromagnetic Liénard-Wiechert field in curved spacetimes.
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## 1. Introduction

In this work the element of volume vector $d \sigma_{r}$ is calculated for a surface with a constant retarded distance, which is constructed around

[^0]of the trajectory of an electric charge with arbitrary motion in a Riemannian space. This is a generalization that was done by Synge [1] in special relativity. The employed method is suggested by the radiation coordinates $y^{r}$ introduced in [2,3] for the study of gravitational radiation; here they are used in electromagnetic radiation and they are very well adapted for this purpose because with such coordinates the curved space behaves like a "flat space" in some aspects. In other words, the use of $y^{r}$ implies that what was learned in Minkowski space can be translated naturally to Riemann's spaces. Our expression for $d \sigma_{r}$ coincides with Villarroel's results obtained in [4] by means of the procedure that DeWitt-Brehme [5] use when constructing a surface with constant instantaneous distance [6,7]. However, we think that our method is more simple and powerful because it turns immediate the results on radiation tensors deduced in [8].

We shall use the "Universe Function" $\Omega$ of Ruse [9], which allows having covariant expansions in curved space. This function remained forgotten during a long time and its present relevance may be seen in $[4,5,8,10-17]$.

## 2. Radiation Coordinates

We assume the Einstein convention for the addition of repeated indices ( $1,2,3$, and $1, \ldots, 4$ for greeks and latin indices, respectively) and that the metric locally takes the diagonal form $\left(\eta_{a b}\right)=(1,1,1,-1)$ at any event. In order to construct the radiation coordinates $y^{r}$ of [2] we need a timelike curve $C$ (which in this case will be the electron trajectory) with an orthonormal tetrad on it.

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{(a)}^{i^{\prime}} e_{(b) i^{\prime}}=\eta_{a b}, e_{(a) i^{\prime}} e_{j^{\prime}}^{(a)}=g_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{(4)}^{i^{\prime}}=v^{i^{\prime}}=\frac{d x^{i^{\prime}}}{d s}$ is the unitary tangent vector to $C$, and $x^{r}$ is a totally arbitrary coordinate system with $d s^{2}=g_{i j} d x^{i} d x^{j}$. The primed indices label points on $C$.

Now let us see how $x^{r}$ generates new coordinates. For every P we construct the past sheet of its null cone which intersects to $C$ in $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ (retarded point associated to P ). We parametrize the null geodesic P $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ in the form $x^{r}(u)$ with $u=u_{0}$ at $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ and $u=u_{1}>u_{0}$ at P with $V^{r}=\frac{d x^{r}}{d u}$ as its tangent vector satisfying $V^{r} V_{r}=0$. The assigned radiation coordinates to P are given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{r}=\left[-\Omega_{j^{\prime}}+s v_{j^{\prime}}\right] e^{(r) j^{\prime}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega_{j^{\prime}}$ denote the covariant derivative of $\Omega$ [14]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{j^{\prime}}=-\left(u_{1}-u_{0}\right) V_{j^{\prime}}, \Omega_{j^{\prime}, \Omega^{\prime}}^{j^{\prime}}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression (2) is equivalent to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\sigma}=-\Omega_{j^{\prime}} e^{(\sigma) j^{\prime}}, y^{4}=\Omega_{j^{\prime}} v^{j^{\prime}}+s \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that in radiation coordinates the curve $C$ reduces to $y^{\sigma^{\prime}}=0, y^{4^{\prime}}=s$. If we introduce the notation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{j^{\prime}}=-\Omega_{j^{\prime}} \quad \mathrm{w}=\Omega_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{v}^{j^{\prime}}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (4) adopts the form of the relation (9.3) of [1] for flat space:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\sigma}=y_{\sigma}=K_{j^{\prime}} e^{(\sigma) j^{\prime}}, y^{4}=-y_{4}=w+s \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this sense the curved space behaves like a Minkowski space, which is very useful.
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At $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ the metric tensor can be written in terms of the tetrad as $g_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}=e_{i^{\prime}}^{(\sigma)} e_{(\sigma) j^{\prime}}-v_{i^{\prime}} v_{j^{\prime}}$, then from (3) and (5):

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\sigma} y_{\sigma}=K_{i^{\prime}} K_{j^{\prime}}\left(g^{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}+v^{i^{\prime}} v^{j^{\prime}}\right)=w^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{j^{\prime}}=y^{\sigma} e_{(\sigma) j^{\prime}}+w v_{j^{\prime}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore $\left(y^{r}-y^{r^{\prime}}\right)$ behaves like a null vector because $\left(y^{r}-y^{r^{\prime}}\right)\left(y_{r}-y_{r^{\prime}}\right)=0$. Our expressions (7) and (8) coincide with (9.4) and (9.5) of [1].

Following the corresponding procedure in flat space we introduce a new system of coordinates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\sigma}=y^{\sigma}, z^{4}=y^{4}-\sqrt{y^{\sigma} y_{\sigma}}=s \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $z^{4}$ remains constant on the null cone with vertex at $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ It is clear that the Jacobian of the transformation: $y^{r} \rightarrow z^{r}$ is equal to one, therefore:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(\frac{z^{a}}{x^{b}}\right)=J\left(\frac{y^{a}}{x^{b}}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us calculate (10). If we use that $\Omega_{r}=\left(u_{1}-u_{0}\right) V_{r}$ and $\frac{\partial x^{r^{\prime}}}{\partial x^{r}}=v^{r^{\prime}} s_{, r}=-w^{-} v^{r^{\prime}} \Omega_{r}$, then from (6) and (9) we obtain the partial derivatives:

$$
\frac{\partial z^{\sigma}}{\partial x^{i}}=-\Omega_{i^{\prime} i} e^{(\sigma) i^{\prime}}+w^{-1}\left[\Omega_{j^{\prime} r^{\prime}} v^{r^{\prime}} e^{(\sigma) j^{\prime}}+\Omega_{r^{\prime}} \frac{d}{d s} e^{(\sigma) r^{\prime}}\right] \Omega_{i}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial z^{4}}{\partial x^{i}}=-w^{-1} \Omega_{i}
$$

thus

$$
\begin{align*}
J\left(\frac{z^{a}}{x^{b}}\right) & \equiv \epsilon^{i j k m} \frac{\partial z^{1}}{\partial x^{i}} \frac{\partial z^{2}}{\partial x^{j}} \frac{\partial z^{3}}{\partial x^{k}} \frac{\partial z^{4}}{\partial x^{m}}  \tag{12}\\
& =w^{-1} \operatorname{det}\left(-\Omega_{a^{\prime} b}\right) \in_{j^{\prime} r^{\prime} t^{\prime} p^{\prime}} e^{(1) j^{\prime}} e^{(2) r^{\prime}} e^{(3) t^{\prime}} \Omega^{p^{\prime}}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have employed the property $\Omega_{m}=\Omega_{p^{\prime} m} \Omega^{p^{\prime}}$ and the antisymmetry of the Levi-Civita symbol $\in^{i j k m}$. From (3) it is evident that $\Omega^{p^{\prime}}$ can be expressed in terms of the tetrad as $\Omega^{p^{\prime}}=b_{\sigma} e^{(\sigma) p^{\prime}}+w e^{(4) p^{\prime}}$, then (12) implies the final form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(\frac{z^{a}}{x^{b}}\right)=-g^{1 / 2}(P) \Delta \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& g(P)=-\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i j}\right), g\left(P^{\prime}\right)=-\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}\right) \\
& D=-\operatorname{det}\left(-\Omega_{a^{\prime} b}\right), \Delta=g^{-1 / 2}(P) g^{-1 / 2}\left(P^{\prime}\right) D \tag{14}
\end{align*} .
$$

With (13) it is apparent the remark of [5] p. 231 and [12] p. 1251: the geodesics emerging from P begin their intersection when $\Delta^{-1}=0$, arising the so-called "caustic surface." Therefore we shall accept that P is near to $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$.

The relations (9) and (13) permit to consider the volume element of the curved space- time, in fact:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{4} x=\left\lvert\, J\left(\frac{x^{b}}{z^{a}}\right) d^{4} z=g^{-1 / 2}(P) \Delta^{-1} d s d^{3} z\right. \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

but from (6), (7) and (9) it is clear that $z^{\sigma} z_{\sigma}=w^{2}$, thus $z^{\sigma}$ can be seen as a 3- vector at P ' of magnitude w and spherical coordinates $\theta, \varphi$ with respect to the triad $e^{(\sigma) r^{\prime}}$, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{3} z=w^{2} d w d \gamma, d \gamma=\sin \theta d \theta d \varphi \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

being $d \gamma$ the element of solid angle in the rest frame of the charge. In this way (15) turns out to be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{4} x=g^{-1 / 2}(P) \Delta^{-1} w^{2} d s d w d \gamma \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which together with (13) represent the generalization to Riemannian spaces from the following results (9.15) and (9.21) of Synge [1] (who uses imaginary coordinates) for Minkowski space:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(\frac{z^{a}}{x^{b}}\right)=-1, d^{4} x=w^{2} d s d w d \gamma \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next section we will apply (17) to the particular case of the surface $w=$ constant, which is important when studying the electromagnetic radiation.

## 3. Surface of constant retarded distance

We consider the 3 -space $w=$ constant, thus the covariant derivative $w_{; r}$ is orthogonal to that surface. Then it is evident that its vector volume element is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \sigma_{r}=\left|w_{; a} w^{; a}\right|^{-1 / 2} w_{; r} d \sigma \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

being $d \sigma$ the 3-element of volume. But when building the shell formed by $w, w+d w$ and the null cones at two points on $C$, we get for its 4 volume $d^{4} x=\left|w_{; a} w^{; a-1 / 2}\right|^{-1 / 2} d w d \sigma$ and after comparison with (17) it implies that $\left|w_{, a} w^{\dot{a}}\right|^{-1 / 2} d \sigma=g^{-1 / 2}(P) \Delta^{-1} w^{2} d s d \gamma$, thus (19) adopts the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \sigma_{r}=g^{-1 / 2}(P) \Delta^{-1} w^{2} w_{; r} d s d \gamma \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from (5):

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{; r}=\hat{\sigma}_{r}-w^{-1}(\chi+W) \Omega_{r} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the notation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{\sigma}_{r}=\Omega_{i^{\prime} r} v^{i^{\prime}}, \chi=\Omega_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}} v^{i^{\prime}} v^{j^{\prime}},  \tag{22}\\
W=\Omega_{i^{\prime}} \frac{d}{d s} v^{i^{\prime}}=-K_{i^{\prime}} a^{i^{\prime}},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $a^{i^{\prime}}$ is the acceleration of the charge. Substituting (21) in (20) we find the result (3.35) of Villarroel [4]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \sigma_{r}=g^{-1 / 2}(P) \Delta^{-1} w\left[w \hat{\sigma}_{r}-(\chi+W) \Omega_{r}\right] d s d \gamma \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the generalization to curved spaces of (10.6) of Synge [1].
The deduction of (23) was simple thanks to the radiation coordinates that originated (17). Nevertheless, this is not the end of the usefulness of $z^{r}$; in our opinion, its true importance lies on the analogies that we can establish with the Minkowski space, which will be seen more clearly in the next section.

## 4. Radiation tensors

In the flat space we have the following radiative part of the Maxwell tensor corresponding to the Liénard-Wiechert retarded field $\left(a^{2}=a_{j} a^{j}\right):$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\substack{r s \\ R}}{T_{r s}}=q^{2} w^{-4}\left(a^{2}-w^{-2} W^{2}\right) K_{r} K_{s} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a radiation tensor because it satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\substack{r s \\ R}}{T_{R}}=0, T_{r s s}{ }_{R}^{* s}=0 . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The continuity equation (25) is consequence of:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(a^{2} w^{-4} K_{r} K_{s}\right)^{, s}=0 \\
& \left(w^{-} W^{2} K_{r} K_{s}\right)^{s}=0 \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

which in turn are particular cases of the identity;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[f\left(a^{2}\right) w^{-n} W^{m} K_{r} K_{s}\right]^{s}=0,-n+m-4, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$f$ being an arbitrary function of $a^{2}$.
It is quite natural to ask ourselves if (24) can be extended to the curved space. The answer is affirmative under the two prescriptions: Identify $K_{r}$ with $-\Omega_{r}$, see (5).
Multiply (24) by $g^{1 / 2}(P) \Delta$ due to the fact that $d^{4} x$ contains the factor $g^{-1 / 2}(P) \Delta^{-1}$ with respect to the corresponding expression for the flat space, see (17).

Thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\substack{r s \\ R}}{T_{r}}=q^{2} g^{1 / 2}(P) \Delta w^{-4}\left(a^{2}-w^{-2} W^{2}\right) \Omega_{r} \Omega_{s} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$
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satisfies (25) with covariant derivative, and it is immediate the generalization of (26):

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[g^{1 / 2}(P) \Delta a^{2} w^{-4} \Omega_{r} \Omega_{s}\right]^{; s}=0}  \tag{29}\\
& {\left[g^{1 / 2}(P) \Delta w^{-6} W^{2} \Omega_{r} \Omega_{s}\right]^{; s}=0}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, from (17) and (28) we have the relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{r}^{r b}, d^{4} x=q^{2} w^{-2}\left(a^{2}-w^{-2} W^{2}\right) \Omega_{r} \Omega_{b} d s d w d \gamma \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is important when performing some integration around the world line of $q$.

We notice that (28) and (29) correspond to the results (2.28),....,(2.31) of Villarroel [8], but in our focusing they emerged naturally through the correspondence with the Minkowski space.
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